

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/902,746	KISHIMOTO ET	AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Luan Thai	2827		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ıddress	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPI	RF 3 MONTH(S) FROM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured to the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minim I will apply and will expire SI	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tin X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. ; communication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	April 2002 .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-fin			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for for r <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1	mal matters, prosecution as to .935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is	
Disposition of Claims	a.m.			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	JII. own from considerat	ion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-21 is/are withdra	awn from considerat	1011.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	lar alaction requirer	nent		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requires	ient.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objecte	ed to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(а).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	d b) disapproved by the Exar	niner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office act	ion.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a leading to the complex of the period	riority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 1	ive been received in this Natio 17.2(a)).	nal Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisi	onal application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional applicati	on has been received.		
Attachment(s)	-			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		r No(s) ı (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/902,746

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I claims 1-11 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations of "a Nickel concentration at the reverse surface is higher than a Nickel concentration at the front surface", as recited in claim 2, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim **2** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, the recitation "a Nickel concentration at the reverse surface is higher than a Nickel concentration at the front surface" is unclear. Note that none of drawings shows a nickel layer at the front surface of the electrically conductive layer.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,746 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claim **1** and **11** are rejected under U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eichelberger et al (6,396,148).

The figures and reference numbers referred to in this office action are used merely to indicate an example of a specific teaching and are not to be taken as limiting.

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Eichelberger et al disclose (specifically see figures 6A-6C and 7A-7C) a semiconductor module comprising: at least one electric element 200 including semiconductor chips csp1/csp2, an electrically conductive layer 209 connected electrically to the electric element 200, an electrically conductive joint 230 arranged between the electric element 200 and the electrically conductive layer 209 to connect electrically the electric element and the conductive layer to each other, a molding resin 215/206 covering partially the electric element and the conductive joint, and an electrically insulating layer 232 contacting at least partially the conductive layer 209.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,746 Page 4

Art Unit: 2827

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims **2-10**, insofar as in compliance with 35 USC 112, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eichelberger et al (6,396,148) in view of Wachtler et al (6,274,391).

The figures and reference numbers referred to in this office action are used merely to indicate an example of a specific teaching and are not to be taken as limiting.

Regarding claims 2-3, Eichelberger et al disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention as detailed above except for a nickel layer concentration at a surface of the conductive layer.

Wachtler et al teach the surface of a metallized pad should be plated with nickel in preparation for the solder ball attachment (Col. 10, lines 19+). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Wachtler et al teachings to Eichelberger et al device by forming a nickel layer on a surface of the metal layer 209 faced the bump electrode 234 (e.g., solder) in order to prepare for the solder ball attachment.

Regarding claim 3, Eichelberger et al further disclose the package including second areas of conducting surface positioned on the first outer

Application/Control Number: 09/902,746

Art Unit: 2827

substrate layer and connected to a second potential and being different from the first potential (Col. 10, lines 54+ and 65+, Col. 11, lines 1+).

Regarding claims 4-7, the proposed device of Eichelberger et al and Wachtler et al further discloses the insulating layer 232 being juxtaposed with a part of the second layer 209 in a direction perpendicular to a thickness direction of the conductive layer so that surfaces of the insulating layer and the part of the second layer both prevented from facing to the electric element extend along a common flat face.

Regarding claims 8-10, applying Wachtler et al teachings to the device disclosed in Eichelberger et al's figure 2 from which the dielectric layer 112 is considered as the claimed insulating layer, the metallic layer having the nickel plated thereon in the proposed device of Eichelberger et al and Kata et al would have been obvious to extend on a surface of the insulating layer 112 prevented from facing to the electric element 102. Furthermore, it is apparent that nickel is magnetically permeable.

The following reference(s) is/are cited as of interest to this application:

U.S. Pat. No. 5,844,304 to Kata et al is cited for showing a nickel-plating layer being made on an interconnecting layer for the purpose of improving the reliability of the joint between the nickel surface and a bump electrode formed later (Col. 7, lines 4+).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/902,746

Art Unit: 2827

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan Thai whose telephone number is (703) 308-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Luan Thai July 1, 2002

KAMAND CUNEO PRIMARY EXAMINER